EXHIBIT 2

Page 1

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS
STATE OF MISSOURI

Case No. 1522-CC00419-02
Division 10

VICKIE FORREST, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON, et al., Defendants.

REMOTE DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, Ph.D.

Monday, February 8, 2021

Court Reporter: Michelle M. Boudreaux, RPR

Page 59 Okay. Let's go back to chrysotile for a 1 2 second, then. 3 So how do you refer to your heavy liquid 4 density separation method for chrysotile, just so we 5 use the same language? The preparation is -- I call it the CSM 6 For the analysis, we're using the ISO 22262-1 7 method. 8 method. But just the preparation, we're using what CSM 9 laid out. We're not using iodine anymore. That did 10 not work on the size of the chrysotile bundles, either for the Calidria or what's being found in the Johnson & 11 12 Johnson -- well, it's just not Johnson & Johnson. 13 manufacturer that used Chinese-sourced talc, as well as 14 Italian-sourced, Vermont -- well, we haven't really done that many Vermonts. Mostly for Johnson & Johnson, 15 16 we have primarily been looking at Chinese-sourced, and 17 I think we have one that was Vermont-sourced. 18 So help me understand that. When you say 19 that iodine wasn't working, was it not staining the 20 type of chrysotile that you are finding in these talc products, or what was happening that was causing the 2.1 22 iodine not to work? 23 Well, it works fine on the 1866b chrysotile 24 standards that we initially were using as a standard. But it's not really a stain as much as it absorbs into 25

```
Page 72
          you look at it, the bundle will have a
 1
          certain color or wavelength. Depending how
 2
          uniform the bundle is, it could be all the
          same color, but usually you'll get a little
 4
 5
          bit different color at the edges versus in
          the middle of the bundle. So they're sort of
 6
          a goldish-orange, sometimes a little bit more
 7
          yellow if they're a little higher on the
 8
          chart, and that would be -- the first thing
10
          you do is in parallel. Parallel dispersion,
          parallel to the optics.
11
12
               (By Mr. Dubin)
                               I'm trying to do this step by
13
     step. So I'm just asking simple questions, so --
14
               Okay. I'll try to give simple answers.
          Α
               Right. So the analyst is looking at the
15
          0
16
     color of what they're seeing in the immersion oil?
17
          Α
               Yes.
               Okay. And then based on that analyst's
18
19
     judgment, then they are going to a table and looking up
20
     that color and finding what information?
2.1
               Well, if they're fairly new analysts, they're
          Α
22
     looking at the table a lot. If they're not -- if
23
     they're experienced, they may -- they have one up to --
     you know, just as a reference. Once they get the
24
25
     color, they'll go to the table and approximate the --
```

```
Page 73
     they'll get the wavelength of that particular color.
 1
     It's a sliding scale depending on your fluid.
 2
 3
     they'll get the wavelength.
 4
               You get a wavelength depending on what color
          0
 5
     you think it is, right?
               What color it is.
 6
 7
               Well, it's based on the judgment of the
     analyst what color they think they're seeing, right?
 8
 9
               MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
10
               THE WITNESS: Well, it's based on what
11
          the analyst sees in the colors.
                                            It is a
12
          judgment that comes from years and years of
13
          experience, like every PLM analyst.
14
               (By Mr. Dubin) Right. What I'm trying to
     get at there, though, for example, if you see a yellow,
15
16
     an analyst can say "I think it's a pale yellow,"
     "I think it's a golden yellow," "I think it's a
17
     yellow," and those might all result in different
18
19
     wavelengths, right?
20
               MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2.1
               THE WITNESS: Again, it depends on the
22
          intensity. You can have -- but the
23
          wavelengths are usually -- if you're going
24
          from a golden yellow to a pale yellow -- what
          was the third one?
25
```

Page 75

- 1 greater. You cannot identify it with 1.550 because you
- 2 would be getting out of the range of that fluid.
- 3 That's why you have two different fluids.
- 4 1.550 is good for the chrysotile polymorphs,
- 5 and it's okay for the fibrous talc as long as you
- 6 understand how far it can go up. I'm giving too much
- 7 information now. I'm sorry.
- 8 Q No, I understand. Thank you.
- I guess, though, just to be clear, you'll
- 10 agree that in terms of this part of the process,
- 11 determining what the color is and then applying that
- 12 color to the wavelength, there's not, for example, a
- 13 piece of data that tells you what the color is; that's
- 14 the judgment of the analyst?
- 15 A As with all PLM microscopists in any lab out
- 16 there -- I think we'll have something like you're
- 17 suggesting in maybe another year; it's one of our next
- 18 projects -- that they're making a judgment based on
- 19 their experience and time in looking at the colors to
- 20 equate to the wavelengths. And once you have a
- 21 wavelength, you just look over the side of the chart
- 22 and it tells you the refractive indices.
- 23 O And, again, it may be that if you don't know
- 24 anything about this, we'll have to talk about it in
- 25 depth at some other point, but do you -- is it correct

```
Page 76
 1
     that MAS's identification of chrysotile in the
 2.
     Johnson & Johnson products, in parallel orientation,
 3
     you're typically evaluating it based on the yellow
 4
     coloration of the particle?
               MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
 5
 6
               THE WITNESS: Only in parallel. Yellow
 7
          to golden yellow. Sometimes you'll see some
          red, a little bit of red, but that's the
 8
 9
          range we've been seeing.
10
               (By Mr. Dubin) But typically you're
          0
     evaluating it based on yellow, right?
11
12
               MS. O'DELL:
                            Object to the form.
               THE WITNESS: Well, I can't say
13
14
          typically.
15
               MR. DUBIN: Okay.
16
               THE WITNESS:
                             If you want to show me a
          photograph of one of our chrysotiles, I can
17
18
          tell you. But, you know, it depends on the
19
          thickness, it depends on where it was dug out
          of the ground, what the chemistry was of that
20
21
          particular area. So I'm not going to give
22
          you just typically it's yellow.
23
               MR. DUBIN: That's fine. We can --
          probably not me and you, but maybe you and
24
25
          Kevin at your Johnson continuation can have
```

```
Page 126
 1
     chrysotile or talc?
 2
                            Object to the form.
               MS. O'DELL:
               THE WITNESS: Well, I would do
 3
          dispersion staining, which you have to get
 4
 5
          all the optical crystalline information from
          it before you do that.
 6
 7
               (By Mr. Dubin) And that's what we've been
     discussing previously, right, so --
 8
 9
               Yes, sir.
          Α
10
               Okay, so let's not go back there.
          0
11
               Have you submitted this PLM method or any of
12
     your PLM results for publication or peer review?
13
               No, sir, I haven't.
          Α
14
               Do you intend to do that?
15
               Yes.
          Α
16
               Do you have any timeline for when you intend
          Q
17
     to do that?
               If I gave you a timeline, I'm sure that at
18
19
     some point I would have to say I haven't done it yet.
20
               Okay. Do you have a timeline in mind?
          0
2.1
               No.
          Α
22
               I guess I'm curious about that. At least
23
     according to your results, you've come upon a method
24
     that at this point, nearly a hundred percent of the
     time, can identify chrysotile and talc. Why aren't you
25
```

Page 138 1 these Grade 7s Canadas in terms of its sizes and shapes 2 and the like? Well, what you find in the RG-144, which was 3 Α 4 pointed out to me, is that you get very few single 5 fibers. And we did the air sampling of the RG-144. We 6 had to go sonicate it to get the individual fibers out. 7 You can get some very long ones, but the bundles are 8 pretty consistent. 9 Well, we can talk about it some other 0 Okay. day. 10 11 So just to make sure that we're on the same 12 page, at this point, you've been finding -- using --13 your technique to identify chrysotile, you've been 14 finding chrysotile in Chinese-mine-sourced products at 15 about a hundred percent hit rate? 16 Yeah, using these CSM sample prep in the Α 17 ISO 22262-1, it's not -- those two methods, so far it's 18 been 100 percent 19 Okay. So recently I think you've issued some 20 reports in the Cashmere Bouquet litigation, looking at 2.1 some older containers, and you also found 100 percent 22 positive rate using your method for chrysotile? 2.3 In all their containers, yes. 24 And I take it, given the fact that those containers stretched from 1950s to 1990s, you'd be

25